



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

**FLOOD SIMULATION MODELS FOR A RIVER SYSTEM IN A
TROPICAL REGION: THE CASE OF LINGGI RIVER, MALAYSIA**

THAMER AHMED MOHAMMED

FK 1998 22

FLOOD SIMULATION MODELS FOR A RIVER SYSTEM IN A TROPICAL
REGION: THE CASE OF LINGGI RIVER, MALAYSIA

By

THAMER AHMED MOHAMMED

Dissertation Submitted in Fulfillment of the Requirement for the
Degree of Doctor of Philosophy in the Faculty of Engineering,
Universiti Putra Malaysia

June 1998



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author wishes to express his sincere appreciation and gratitude to Associate Professor Dr. Salim Said, the chairman of the supervisory committee, for his invaluable direction, cooperation, financial support and continuous encouragement during the period of this study. I also wish to express a special thanks to other members of the supervisory committee Dato Professor Dr. Mohd. Zohadie Bardaie and Associate Professor Dr. ShahNor Basri for their invaluable and specific advices during the period of this study.

The cooperation of both the Malaysian Irrigation and Drainage Divisions located at Ampang Street, Kuala Lumpur and Seremban, Negrei Sembilan are highly appreciated especially since they provided the author with the available hydrologic data related to area of study.

The author is grateful to the directors of Perunding Bakti Snd. Bhd. Mr. T.T.Chiam and Mr. Abdul Rhman Yacob for their help in providing him with the survey data related to the area of the study and for the computer facilities.

A deep appreciation is also extended to Miss Leorawati Abdullah for her sincere efforts in editing this dissertation.

I am grateful to the Universiti Putra Malaysia for all the facilities and help during this study.

The author appreciates the specific computer consultants by Mr. Thamir A. Mahdi during the period of the study.

The author wishes to thank Associate Professor Dr. Aziz F. Eloubaidy, the teaching staff in the Civil Engineering Department, Faculty of Engineering, UPM for his course entitled "River Mechanics" and his help for getting the admission in UPM.

The author wishes to thank his wife and children for their support during the period of this study.

Finally I wish to give a great thanks to the Allah (sbt) to provide me with the health, strength and the patience to complete this study.

TABLES OF CONTENTS

	Page
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	ii
LIST OF TABLES.....	vi
LIST OF FIGURES	viii
LIST OF PLATES.....	xiv
ABSTRACT.....	xv
ABSTRAK.....	xviii
CHAPTER	
I	
INTRODUCTION	1
Objectives of Study	7
Dissertation Organisation	9
II	
LITERATURE REVIEW	11
Introduction	11
Hydrologic Models	12
Hydraulic Models.....	28
Sedimentation Models in a Pond or Basin	39
III	
FLOOD SIMULATION MODELS AND THEIR METHOD OF APPLICATION	47
Introduction	47
Hydrologic Models.....	48
River Model	48
Method of Applying the River Model	53
Basin Model	54
Method of Applying the Basin Model	61
Hydraulic Simulation of the Flood	62
Sedimentation Model	73
The Analytical Solution	77
Method of Applying the Analytical Sedimentation Model	80
IV	
THE CASE STUDY	82
Description of the Study Area	82
Data Acquisition	83



	Linggi River System	84
	Batang Penar River	92
	Paroi River	92
	Temiang Diversion River	97
	Temiang River	97
	Kepayang River	102
	Anak Rasah River	105
	Hydrologic Data for a Detention Pond	107
V	EVALUATION OF THE MODELS	109
	Introduction	109
	Models Calibration	110
	Models Verification and Testing	118
VI	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	133
	Hydrologic Simulation of the Flood.....	133
	Hydraulic Simulation of the Flood	141
	Impact of Cross Sectional Spacing	147
	Impact of Manning Coefficient of Roughness.....	150
	Linkage Between The Basin Model and The Hydraulic Model.....	154
	Analytical Simulation of the Sedimentation in a Detention Pond.....	157
	Limits of Models Application	167
VII	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS.....	168
	Major Findings	172
	Conclusions	174
	Suggestion for Future Studies.....	175
	REFERENCES	177
	APPENDICES	
	A Selected Cross Sections	186
	B Plates	200
	C Tables	203
	D The Computer Programs	213
	VITA.....	234

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
1.1 Areas of Endangered by the Flood in Peninsular Malaysia.....	3
1.2 Cost of the Flood in Malaysia for the Period of 1966-1995	4
4.1 Catchment Area within the Linggi River Basin	89
4.2 Classification of the Sediment Load in the Inflow Water	107
4.3 Typical Hydrologic Data for a Detention Pond	108
5.1 Numerical Values of the Basin Model Parameters After Calibrated for Linggi River System.....	115
5.2 Hydrologic Record for Linggi River Basin	203
5.3 Calculation of the Parameters for the Calibration of the Basin Model when Applied to Batang Penar River.....	204
5.4 Calculation of the Parameters for the Calibration of the Basin Model when Applied to Paroi River.....	205
5.5 Calculation of the Parameters for the Calibration of the Basin Model when Applied to Temiang Diversion River.....	206
5.6 Calculation of the Parameters for the Calibration of the Basin Model when Applied to Temiang River.....	207
5.7 Calculation of the Parameters for the Calibration of the Basin Model when Applied to Kepayang River.....	208
5.8 Calculation of the Parameters for the Calibration of the Basin Model when Applied to Anak Rasah River.....	209
5.9 Results from Application of the Numerical Model and the Analytical Model for Class I Sediment.....	210

5.10	Results from Application of the Numerical Model and the Analytical Model for Class II Sediment.....	211
5.11	Results from Application of the Numerical Model and the Analytical Model for Class III Sediment	212
5.12	Predicted Peak Streamflows for Linggi River Using River Model	120
5.13	Result of Applying Basin Model for Linggi River System	124

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
2.1 HEC-1 Model Configuration	22
2.2 Frame Work of GA-SWMM Calibration Method	27
2.3 Variation of Water Depth with Channel at Location of a Transition	35
2.4 Water Surface Profile for River Klang Using HEC-2 Model and MIKE-11 Model	38
2.5 Schematic Diagram of Applying the Sedimentation Model Developed by Hall et al. (1993).....	44
3.1 Schematic Diagram of Applying the River Model.....	55
3.2 A Basin of a River System	56
3.3 Schematic Diagram of Applying the Basin Model.....	63
3.4 Natural River Reach Used in the Derivation of the Hydraulic Model....	65
3.5 Division of Natural Cross Section into Discrete Elements.....	69
3.6 Schematic Diagram of Applying the Hydraulic Model	74
3.7 Schematic Diagram of Sedimentation in Detention Pond	75
3.8 Schematic Diagram of Applying the Analytical Sedimentation Model	81
4.1 Upstream Basin of Linggi River System.....	85
4.2 Recorded Peak Streamflow of Linggi River at Station 2619401.....	87

4.3	Distribution of Peak streamflow Through the Months of the Year for Linggi River at Station Number 2619401.....	88
4.4	Stage-Discharge Relation for Linggi River at Station Number 2619401	88
4.5	Longitudinal Profile of Linggi River.....	90
4.6	Typical Cross Section of Linggi River.....	90
4.7	Cross Section of Linggi River at Chainage 0 m.....	186
4.8	Cross Section of Linggi River at Chainage 1000 m.....	186
4.9	Cross Section of Linggi River at Chainage 2000 m.....	187
4.10	Cross Section of Linggi River at Chainage 4000 m.....	187
4.11	Sediment Rating for River Linggi at Downstream.....	91
4.12	Peak Rainfall Recorded at the Catchment of Batang Penar River (Station Number 2720041).....	93
4.13	Longitudinal Section of Batang Penar River.....	94
4.14	Typical Section of Batang Penar River.....	94
4.15	Cross Section of the Batang Penar River at Chainage 0 m.....	188
4.16	Cross Section of the Batang Penar River at Chainage 2000 m.....	188
4.17	Cross Section of the Batang Penar River at Chainage 4000 m.....	189
4.18	Cross Section of the Batang Penar River at Chainage 6000 m.....	189
4.19	Peak Rainfall Recorded at the Catchment of Paroi River (Station Number 2721073).....	95
4.20	Longitudinal Profile of the Paroi River.....	96
4.21	Typical Cross Section of the Paroi River.....	96
4.22	Cross Section of the Paroi River at Chainage 0 m	190
4.23	Cross Section of the Paroi River at Chainage 1500 m.....	190

4.24	Cross Section of the Paroi River at Chainage 3000 m	191
4.25	Cross Section of the Paroi River at Chainage 4500 m	191
4.26	Peak Rainfall Recorded at the Catchment of Temiang Diversion River (Station Number 2719001)	98
4.27	Longitudinal Profile of the Temiang Diversion River.....	99
4.28	Typical Cross Section of the Temiang Diversion River.....	99
4.29	Cross Section of the Temiang Diversion River at Chainage 0 m.....	192
4.30	Cross Section of the Temiang Diversion River at Chainage 1500 m....	192
4.31	Cross Section of the Temiang Diversion River at Chainage 3000 m....	193
4.32	Cross Section of the Temiang Diversion River at Chainage 4500 m....	193
4.33	Peak Rainfall Recorded at the Catchment of Temiang River (Station Number 2719043).....	100
4.34	Longitudinal Profile of the Temiang River.....	101
4.35	Typical Cross Section of the Temiang River.....	101
4.36	Cross Section of the Temiang River at Chainage 1000 m.....	194
4.37	Cross Section of the Temiang River at Chainage 2000 m.....	194
4.38	Cross Section of the Temiang River at Chainage 3000 m.....	195
4.39	Cross Section of the Temiang River at Chainage 4000 m.....	195
4.40	Peak Rainfall Recorded at the Catchment of Kepayang River (Station Number 2718005).....	103
4.41	Longitudinal Profile of the Kepayang River.....	104
4.42	Typical Cross Section of the Kepayang River.....	104
4.43	Cross Section of the Kepayang River at Chainage 0 m.....	196
4.44	Cross Section of the Kepayang River at Chainage 1500 m.....	196

4.45	Cross Section of the Kepayang River at Chainage 3000 m.....	197
4.46	Cross Section of the Kepayang River at Chainage 4500 m.....	197
4.47	Longitudinal Profile of the Anak Rasah River.....	106
4.48	Typical Cross Section of the Anak Rasah River.....	106
4.49	Cross Section of the Anak Rasah River at Chainage 500 m.....	198
4.50	Cross Section of the Anak Rasah River at Chainage 1000 m.....	198
4.51	Cross Section of the Anak Rasah River at Chainage 1500 m.....	199
4.52	Cross Section of the Anak Rasah River at Chainage 2000 m.....	199
5.1	Scattergram of Recorded and Predicted Peak Streamflow of Linggi River Using the River Model	121
5.2	Absolute Error of Predicted and Recorded Peak Streamflow of Linggi River Using River Model	122
5.3	Scattergram of Predicted and Recorded Peak Streamflow for Linggi River Using the Basin Model.....	123
5.4	Absolute Error of Predicted and Recorded Peak Streamflow of Linggi River Using the Basin Model	125
5.5	Predicted Peak Streamflow Obtained from Running the River Model and the Historical Records of Linggi River	126
5.6	Verification of the Basin model	126
5.7	Verification of the Hydraulic Model Using Recorded Water Surface Elevation for Linggi River.....	127
5.8	Absolute Error of Predicted Water Surface Elevation Using the Hydraulic Model.....	128
5.9	Comparison Between the Result Obtained from Applying the Proposed Analytical Sedimentation Model and that Obtained from the Numerical Sedimentation Model, Proposed by Hall et al. (1993) for Class I Sediment.....	129

5.10	Comparison Between the Result Obtained from Applying the Proposed Analytical Sedimentation Model and that Obtained from the Numerical Sedimentation Model, Proposed by Hall et al. (1993) for Class II Sediment	130
5.11	Comparison Between the Result Obtained from Applying the Proposed Analytical Sedimentation Model and that Obtained from the Numerical Sedimentation Model, Proposed by Hall et al. (1993) for Class III Sediment	130
6.1	Comparison Between Prediction of Peak Streamflow for Linggi River Using the Rational Formula and the Basin Model	140
6.2	Predicted Water Surface Elevation for Linggi River.....	145
6.3	Predicted Water Surface Elevation for Tributaries of Linggi River Using the Hydraulic Model.....	146
6.4	Effect of Cross Section Intervals on Predicted Water Surface Elevation for Linggi River.....	149
6.5	Variation of the Flow Width Along the Linggi River	151
6.6	Effect of Increment in Manning's Coefficient on the Predicted Water Surface Elevation along the Linggi River	152
6.7	Three Dimensional Representation of the Water Surface Profile for a Stretch of 500m of the Linggi River Using HEC-RAS Computer Package	155
6.8	Comparison Between the Predicted Water Surface Elevation for Linggi River from the Hydraulic Model Using the Predicted Values of 10-year Peak Streamflow from Basin Model, River Model and the Rational Formula	156

6.9	Variation of the Predicted Sediment Concentration with the Time Using the Analytical Model.....	161
6.10	Variation of Predicted Sediment Concentration with the Time Using the Numerical Model.....	161
6.11	Variation of Trapping Efficiency of the Detention Pond with the Time	164
6.12	Variation of Overflow Rate with the Time	166

LIST OF PLATES

Plate		Page
1	Gauging Station Number 2619401 Located in the Linggi River	200
2	General View of the Linggi River.....	200
3	The Confluence Point Between the Temiang Diversion River and the Linggi River	201
4	General View of the Temiang Diversion River During the Drought Season	201
5	The Confluence Point Between the Kepayang River and the Linggi River	202
6	The Confluence Point Between the Anak Rasah River and the Linggi River	202

Abstract of dissertation presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

**FLOOD SIMULATION MODELS FOR A RIVER SYSTEM IN A
TROPICAL REGION: THE CASE OF LINGGI RIVER, MALAYSIA**

By

THAMER AHMED MOHAMMED

June 1998

Chairman: Associate Professor Salim Bin Said, Ph. D.

Faculty: Engineering

Flooding of a river system in a tropical region is predicted using mathematical simulation models in this study. The proposed models were categorized as hydrologic model, hydraulic model and sedimentation model.

Two methods of hydrologic models were used to simulate the peak streamflow in a river system of a tropical region. The first hydrologic model is the river model, which is a first order linear autoregressive model, AR (1). The second hydrologic model is called the basin model which is a deterministic model based on a linear relationship between rainfall and runoff. The basin model is also described as a distributed model in which the river basin is divided into a number of subbasins whereby the rainfall and the runoff at each subbasin is simulated separately and then combined to get the river basin response. The Linggi River system in Seremban, Malaysia was used as a case study. The Linggi River system

consists of a main river which is called Linggi, and its six tributaries called the Batang Penar, Paroi, Temiang Diversion, Temiang, Anak Rasah and Kepayang. The total catchment area of the Linggi River system up to the point of interest is 127.7 km². Recorded hydrologic data for the Linggi River basin was used in the evaluation and testing of the proposed models. Models evaluation involved calibration, verification and sensitivity analysis. Models testing was implemented using the Theil's technique. The calculated value of Theil's coefficient was 0.028, 0.17 and 0.01 for the basin model, river model and the hydraulic model respectively. A computer program was written to especially perform the calculations of the basin model. This computer program is called BSHYMD.

The hydraulic model was used to predict the water surface profile in the river system based on the numerical solution of the one dimensional energy equation. The verification of the hydraulic model showed that there was substantial agreement between the predicted and recorded water surface profile. The average error between the predicted and recorded water surface profiles for Linggi River using the hydraulic model was 2.28%. The HEC-2 computer package and HEC-RAS computer package were used to perform the calculations of the water surface profile for Linggi River. The hydraulic model was sensitive to changes in the Manning's coefficient of roughness, with an increase of 0.001 in the value of that coefficient would lead to an average increase of 2.5 cm in the predicted water surface elevation in the Linggi River. The linkage between the hydrologic model and the hydraulic model was performed successfully in this study.

Detention ponds could be used for flood alleviation in river systems to enhance the water quality of the floodwater, which carries a high sediment load from the upstream basin of the river system. The analytical sedimentation model was proposed to predict the sediment concentration in the outflow water from a detention pond of a river system. The analytical sedimentation model was based on the hydrologic continuity equation of the flow of water and sediment through the pond. The first order linear differential equation resulting from the simplification of the hydrologic continuity equation was solved analytically in this study. The verification of the analytical sedimentation model was implemented using numerical sedimentation model. The analytical sedimentation model is a general model which can be applied to any detention pond or sedimentation basin. The verification process showed that the predicted sediment concentration from the analytical sedimentation model and that predicted by the numerical sedimentation model were in agreement. A computer program was written in this study to perform the calculation of the analytical sedimentation model. This computer program is called as ANASDM. Based on the efficiency of the detention pond in trapping the sediment, it was found that the pond was highly efficient in reducing the coarser sediment load (over 90% efficiency), while for the finer sediment, the efficiency was about 50%.

Abstrak disertasi yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia
sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah.

**MODEL SIMULASI BANJIR UNTUK SISTEM SUNGAI DI KAWASAN
TROPIKA: KES SUNGAI LINGGI, MALAYSIA**

Oleh

THAMER AHMED MOHAMMED

June 1998

Pengerusi: Profesor Madya Salim Bin Said, Ph. D.

Fakulti: Kejuruteraan

Di dalam kajian ini, bencana banjir yang berlaku pada sistem sungai di kawasan tropika diramal dengan menggunakan model simulasi matematik. Model yang dicadangkan dapat dikategorikan kepada model hidrologi, model hidraulik dan model pemendapan.

Dua jenis model hidrologi telah digunakan bagi simulasi aliran puncak di dalam sebuah sistem sungai kawasan tropika. Model hidrologi pertama ialah model sungai iaitu sebuah model autoregresif linear kelas satu, AR(1). Model hidrologi kedua dipanggil model kawasan tadahan di mana ia merupakan model penentuan berdasarkan kepada hubungan linear di antara air hujan dan air larian. Model kawasan tadahan juga boleh dihuraikan sebagai model pembahagian di mana kawasan tadahan sesebuah sungai tersebut dibahagikan kepada beberapa sub-kawasan tadahan di mana air hujan dan air larian pada setiap sub-kawasan tadahan

disimulasikan secara berasingan dan kemudian digabungkan bagi mendapatkan reaksi kawasan tadahan tersebut. Sistem Sungai Linggi di Seremban, Negeri Sembilan, Malaysia dipilih sebagai kajian kes untuk kajian ini. Sistem Sungai Linggi terdiri daripada sungai utama iaitu Sungai Linggi dan enam cabangnya iaitu Sungai Batang Benar, Sungai Paroi, Sungai Temiang Diversion, Sungai Temiang, Sungai Anak Rasah dan Sungai Kepayang. Jumlah keluasan kawasan tadahan bagi sistem Sungai Linggi ialah 127.7 km^2 . Data dari rekod hidrologi bagi kawasan tadahan Sungai Linggi telah digunakan di dalam proses menilai dan menguji model-model yang dicadangkan. Penilaian model-model merangkumi kerja-kerja kalibrasi, pengesahan dan analisis kepekaan. Pengujian model telah dilaksanakan dengan menggunakan teknik Theil. Selain daripada itu, nilai pekali Theil ialah 0.028, 0.17 dan 0.01 bagi model kawasan tadahan, model sungai masing-masing dan model hidraulik.

Model hidraulik digunakan bagi meramal profil permukaan air sungai berdasarkan kepada penyelesaian numerikal daripada persamaan tenaga satu dimensi. Pengesahan model hidraulik menunjukkan bahawa terdapat persetujuan yang kuat di antara profil permukaan air yang diramal dan yang direkod. Kesilapan purata di antara profil permukaan air yang diramal dan direkod untuk Sungai Linggi dengan menggunakan model hidraulik adalah sebanyak 2.28%. Pakej komputer HEC-2 dan HEC-RAS telah digunakan bagi pengiraan profil permukaan air untuk Sungai Linggi. Model hidraulik ini amat sensitif kepada pekali kekasaran permukaan Manning di mana pertambahan sebanyak 0.001 pada pekali tersebut akan menghasilkan pertambahan purata sebanyak 2.5 cm pada paras permukaan air

Sungai Linggi. Kaitan di antara model hidrologi dan model hidraulik telah dilaksanakan dengan baik di dalam kajian ini.

Kolam takungan tetap boleh digunakan bagi mengurangkan kesan banjir pada sistem sungai dan dapat membersihkan air banjir daripada beban keladak tinggi yang dibawa dari kawasan hulu tadahan. Di dalam kajian ini, model pemendapan analitikal telah dicadangkan bagi meramal konsentrasi keladak di dalam air yang mengalir keluar daripada kolam takungan sesebuah sistem sungai. Model pemendapan analitikal ini berdasarkan kepada persamaan berterusan hidrologi bagi aliran air dan keladak melalui kolam takungan. Persamaan pembezaan linear kelas pertama hasil daripada persamaan berterusan telah diselesaikan secara analitikal dengan menggunakan penyelesaian piawai bagi persamaan pembezaan jenis ini. Pengesahan model pemendapan analitikal telah dilaksanakan dengan menggunakan model pemendapan numerikal yang dicadangkan oleh Hall (1993). Model pemendapan analitikal adalah model umum di mana ia boleh diaplikasikan kepada mana-mana kolam takungan atau takungan keladak. Proses pengesahan menunjukkan bahawa terdapat persetujuan yang baik di antara konsentrasi keladak yang diramal daripada model pemendapan analitikal dan model pemendapan numerikal. Berdasarkan kepada pengiraan keberkesanan kolam takungan memerangkap keladak, didapati bahawa kolam tersebut adalah berkesan dalam mengurangkan beban keladak yang kasar (keberkesanan melebihi 90%) manakala untuk keladak yang lebih halus, keberkesananannya lebih kurang 50%.

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

Flood plains and riverbanks have played important roles for mankind because man has been dependent on these areas for lodging and food production since the ancient ages. Although the ancient man was able to move away from the flooded river plain during a flood period as a temporary measure, he also made many attempts to control the flood. Man attempted to control the flooding of rivers by constructing simple structures across these rivers or digging side canals or through other activities. Flood control and management is not a new practice but it is as old as civilization itself. Practices used by modern man for flood management and control are different from that used by the ancient man; the difference is attributed to the use of new practices, which apply modern technologies.

As the population increased, the number of people living in the areas near to the rivers increased as well. The economic investment in flood plains has therefore grown throughout the world, and consequently annual damages produced by the floods have continually increased.

Not only that but other developments in the river basins kept on increasing until now and this brings the flood problems into sharper focus in recent years. Thus, although the investment to reduce the limit of flood damage has increased rapidly during the past decades, annual damages have also increased. The flood damages are created when the river flows are large enough to cause flooding of these areas that are less often covered by water than the main channel of the flowing river.

Flooding occurs as a result of rainfall in tropical regions while in the temperate regions it is the result of rainfall and simultaneous snowmelt. Malaysia is a tropical country, receiving more than 2500 mm of rain annually (Said, 1989). The flooding of Malaysian rivers is mainly due to the high amount of rainfall at their basins. The worst flood in Malaysia was recorded in 1926 which has been described as having caused the most extensive damage to the natural environment. Subsequent major floods were recorded in 1931, 1947, 1954, 1957, 1967, and 1971. Floods of lesser magnitude also occurred in 1973, 1979 and 1983 (Ann, 1994). Table 1.1 shows the extent of the areas subjected to the river floods in Peninsular Malaysia. The figures given are only approximate and were based on flood maps (Framgi and Gary, 1977).

The total cost of the flood to the Malaysian economy justifies the attention paid by the government to flood mitigation and prevention problems, and to encourage engineers to study and alleviate these problems. The total cost of the flood comprises the cost of flood mitigation projects and the cost of flood damage. The average cost of the annual flood damage in Malaysia is estimated to be

RM 100,000,000 (Ann, 1994). Table 1.2 shows the total cost of the flood in Malaysia for the period 1966-1995. Annual flood damage can be computed using numerical integration (Beard, 1997). On the other hand, analytical modelling can also be used for estimating the annual flood damage of a levee (Goldman, 1997).

Table 1.1: Areas Endangered by the Flood in Peninsular Malaysia

State	Area endangered by the flood (km ²)	Year
Kedah	440	1971
Perlis	-----	
Penang	52	1971
Perak	1295	1967
Selangor	958	1971
Pahang	8029	1971
Trengganu	1683	1966-1967
Kelantan	2124	1966-1967
Negri Sembilan	220	1971
Malacca	453	1971
Johor	2072	1969-1970

Source: Framgi and Gray (1977)

Rapid development in Malaysia has increased in the last decade and recorded flows in the Malaysian rivers have increased as well. The increase in the amount of the flow to these rivers from their basins is attributed to the changes in landuse. In Malaysia many detention ponds are used to alleviate the flood in river systems.

The function of a detention pond is to reduce the impact of the peak flow in the river. Unfortunately, the high sediment load carried by the floodwater to the pond reduces the storage capacity and also increases the consequences of the flood impacts.

Table 1.2: Cost of the Flood in Malaysia for the Period of 1966 –1995

Period (Years)	Mitigation Coast (Millions of RM)	Average Annual Damage Cost (Millions of RM)	Total Cost (Millions of RM)
1966-1970	5.83	100.0	105.83
1971-1975	16.60	100.0	116.60
1976-1980	56.0	100.0	156.0
1981-1985	164.10	100.0	264.10
1986-1990	210.0	100.0	310.0
1991-1995	504.20	100.0	604.20

Source: Ann (1994)

Flood forecasting or predicting is important because it helps in reducing the flood damage in terms of cost and losses, including the loss of human life. As a result of advances in the numerical methods and computer technologies, many mathematical models were developed and used in flood simulation studies. A flood simulation model, which is used to predict the peak streamflow, is called the hydrologic model. A large number of hydrologic models were proposed by various researchers to estimate the streamflow in a river system. Based on the concept and the approach used in the formulation of the hydrologic model, it can be classified